DPS Physical Therapy PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST 2008

Submitting therapist to complete information in italics		
ELIGIBILITY/PLACEMENT		
SPECIAL EDUCATION	YES	NO
Does the student have a disability/disorder based on disability criteria in the Governing Policies document	_	
Does the disorder have a negative effect on the student's academic achievement o functional performance	—	—
Peer Review Team Decision: Does the student require PT as a related service to fully benefit form the specifically designed instruction provided by the primary area of eligibility		
OR:		
<u>504</u>		
Does the student have a record of, or is regarded as having a physical or mental impairment	_	_
Does the impairment substantially limit one or more major life activities, making the student eligible for 504 accommodations	—	—
Peer Review Team Decision: Does the student require PT as a related service to fully access their regular education		

PT CRITERIA Non-Clinical 1) 14-21 years Age 2) 7-13 years 3) 3-6 years *x* 4 = _____ Motivation 1) low 2) fair 3) excellent x 2 = Prognosis with0) prognosis expected without Intervention intervention 1) unclear 2) fair 3) good/excellent or would regress without intervention x 5 =_____ 1) uncooperative Behavior 2) fair cooperation 3) good/excellent cooperation x 2 = Prior Therapy 1) extensive (3 years or more of direct services 2) limited (less than 3 years of service) x 4 = Home Follow-Up 1) uncertain 2) fair 3) good/excellent x 1 = _____ Needs Can be Met 1) can be met 2) some can be met By Other Care Providers or Staff 3) can not be met Non Clinical Total:

Clinical-functional com	parison to peers of similar disability and functional levels
Gross Motor	0) normal limits/optimal function for
	disability
	O) do not expect further progress on goals in this area
	1) mildly below like peers
	2) moderately below like peers
	3) severely below norm for like peers x4 =
Range of Motion	0) normal limits/optimal function for
	disability
	0) do not expect further
	progress on goals in this area
	 mildly below like peers moderately below like peers
	3) severely below norm for like peers x4 =
	o) develoy below Hellit for like poore XT =
Ambulation	0) normal limits/optimal function for
	disability 0) do not expect further
	progress on goals in this area
	1) mild involvement
	2) moderate involvement
	3) severe involvement x 4 =
Muscle Strength	0) normal limits/optimal function for
	disability
	1) mild involvement
	2) moderate involvement
	3) severe involvement x 4 =
Muscle Tone	0) normal limits
	1) mild involvement
	2) moderate involvement
	3) severe involvement x 2 =
Posture	0) normal limits/optimal function for
	disability
	1) mild involvement
	2) moderate involvement
	3) severe involvement x 4 =

Balance	0)	0) normal limits/optimal function for disability0) do not expect further progress on goals in this area					
	0)						
	ĺ						
	1)	mildly below like peers moderately below like peers					
	3)	severely below n	orm for p	peers x 4 =			
Breathing Control	0)	normal limits/opt	imal fund	ction for			
9		disability					
	1)						
<mark>2) moderate involve</mark>							
	3)	severe involveme	ent	x 2 =			
			Clini	cal Total:			
			O.III III	<u> </u>			
<u>Non Clinical</u>			<u>Clinica</u>	<u></u>			
Age			Gross	Motor			
Motivation				of Motion			
Prognosis w/Intervention			Ambul				
Behavior				e Strength			
Prior Therapy			Muscle				
Home Follow-Up			Postur	·e			
Needs Met By Other Staff			Baland	ce _			
ř			Breath	ing Control			
Total			+	Total _			
				=Total Score _			
Score Ranges-Check Appro	onria	te Category					
<u>Goord Hangos</u> Gridon Appro	γρηταί	to category					
Primary Age Group (3-6)		6-69		al (No Service/Monitor)			
				te (Consult			
				Other Week)			
		91-129	Intensi	ve (1-2 Times Weekly)			
Intermediate Age Group (7-13)		20-72	Minima	al (No Service/Monitor)			
				nte (Consult Monthly/Éve	ery		
			Week)	·			
		93-129	Intens	ive (1-2 Times Weekly)			
Secondary Age Group (14-2	21)	24-74	Minima	al (No Service/Monitor)			
TITO THE COURT OF THE		75-93		ate (Consult Monthly/Ev	erv		
			Week)	,	.,		
			,	ve (1-2 Times Weekly)			

SERVICE DELIVERY RECOMMENDATION:			
Peer Review Team Decisions:			
IEP:	YES	NO	Partial
Present Level of Performance a. Identifies student's strengths in related skills b. Identifies student's needs in related skills c. Clear link to Annual Goal and Objectives/ Benchmarks. Annual Goals			
a. Measurable Benchmarks/STO's			
a. Defines progress toward meeting AG b. Measurable Statement of how Progress will be Measured Statement of how Parents will be Informed			
RSSD: Description of student needs Classroom Interventions appropriate Related Service Provider Support appropriate Equipment recommended appropriate	YES	NO PARTIAL	N/A
Service Delivery:			
Service Delivery frequency appropriate			
Additional Comments:			
			_
Peer Review Team Member			_
Peer Review Team Member			_
Peer Review Team Member			_